Global Convention of Investors

1573. SHR1 GHUFRAN AZAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased
to stale:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Prime Minister while inaugurating the two day eiohal convention -of investors had announced recently in Mumbai. about Government's proposed decision to offer some core sector operation to private parties both from abroad and domestic;

whether Government have taken a decision- on the same pursuent to liberalization of industrial policies further by Central Government; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MAR AN): (a) Government have decided and announced to permit both domestic and foreign private investors in certain core sector operations which include pov»:r, ports, highways, telecommunications, etc.

(b) and (c) Government is conscious of the need for policy initiatives in reform process including allowing private participation in certain vital sectors of the economy like power, transportation, communication, and hydrocarbon, etc.

States not Wanting FMRY

1574. SHRI K.R. MALKANI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that PMRY is so defective that some States do not want it, as reported in the statesman dated the 11th February, 1997;

(b) if so, the targets for different States;

(c) the performance in different States;

(d) whether Government propose to do to mend matters; and

(e) if so, details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) The attention of the Government has been drawn to the report dated 11.2.97 in the Statesmen. However, no State/UT has expressed the desire to dissociate themselves from the scheme.

(b) and (c) the targets and performance as reported by the States/UTs for the years 1993-94,' 1994-95 and 1995-96 are enclosed at statements-I, II and III.

(d) and (e) To facilitate implementatons, the PMRY is reviewed at the district, state and central level. The Union Industry Ministry has aso reviewed the scheme with the State/UTs and the banks recently on 11.2.1997.

Statcment-I

Progress Under PMRY 1993-94

Sl. No	State/UT	Target (No)	No. of Applications Sanctioned by Banks	No. of cases to whom loan Disbursed
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	3335	3466	2520
2.	Assam	805	818	564**
3.	Bihar	3070	2051	1490
4,	Delhi	1390	622	258**
5.	Goa	200	78	70

*Source RBI

7.	Haryana	725	792	578
8.	Himachal Pradesh	305	201	106
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	205	101	27
10.	Karnataka	2050	1919	1322
II	Kerala	2755	1595	1002
12.	Madhya Pradesh	2710	2992	2061
13.	Maharashtra	4630	4850	4121
14.	Manipur	200	214	214
15.	Mizoram	200	32	31
16.	Orissa	865	842	580
17.	Punjab	965	1061	740
18.	Rajas than	1410	1257	678*
19.	Tamil Nadu	3560	2794	1975
20.	Tripura	200	146	105
21.	.Uttar Pradesh	4420	3995	2792
22.	West Bengal	4.170	980	691
23.	Andaman & Nicobar	200	39	24
24.	Arunachal Pradesh	200	124	115
25.	Chandigrah	200	81	38*
26.	Dadra & Nagar Haveii	200	122	33*
27.	Daman & Diu	200	13	NR
28.	Nagaland	200	103	101
29.	Lakshadweep	200	Nil	NIL
30.	Meghalaya	200	139	134
31.	Pondicherry	200	162	18
32.	Sikkim	200	Nil	NIL
	Total:		32116	22733

Source States/UTs.

*Source RBI.

Statement-II

Progress Under PMRY 1994-95

Sl. No.	State/UT	Target (No)	No. of Applications Sanctioned by Banks	No. of cases to whom loan. Disbursed
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	25000	19851	14769
2.	Assam	6600	6404	1253*
3.	Bihar	22150.	11705	8459
4.	Delhi	4540	1763	301 *
5.	Goa	520	285	223
6.	Gujarat	8500	5707	4301*
7.	Haryana	4100	4600	3774
8.	Himachal Pradesh	2100	2306	1717
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	2000	1995	11S4*
10.	Karnataka	15000	13759	11133

11.	Kerala	15000	11123	7238
12.	Madhya Pradesh	20000	21840	14894
13.	Maharashtra	20500	26551	22450
14.	Manipur	2000	2026	2025
15.	Mizoram	250	226	214
16.	Orissa	6570	5551	4179
17.	Punjab	4900	5357	3010
18.	Rajasthan	8300	7666	5001
19.	Tamil Nadu	17400	14519	11206
20.	Tripura	1000	796	567
21.	Uttar Pradesh	27400	23060	18549
22.	West Bengal	22900	9441	3782
23.	Andaman & Nicobar	250	54	50
24.	Arunachal Pradesh	250	183	132
25.	Chandigrah	150	165	118*
26.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	250	215*	164*
27.	Daman & Diu	250	79	52
28.	Nagaland	250	216	216
29.	Lakshadweep	75	19	15
30.	Meghalaya	300	314	286
31.	Pondicherry	460	476	233
32.	Sikkim	250	75	52
	Total:		198327	141547

Source States/Uts.
*Source RBI.

Statement-III

Progress Under PMRY 1995-96

SI.	State/UT No.	Target (No)	No. of Applications Sanctioned by Banks	No. of cases to whom loan Disbursed
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	31900	32556	23085
2.	Assam	9900	9852	3566*
3.	Bihar	22150	17924	13350
4.	Delhi	4550	4143	1373
5.	Goa	550	499	319
6.	Gujarat	8500	10190	8215*
7.	Haryana	7200	9353	5265
8.	Himachal Pradesh	2100	2578	2315
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	3100	2586	630*
10.	Karnataka	17700	17000	13945
11.	Kerala	15000	14135	9503
12.	Madhya Pradesh	27050	31566	19799
13.	Maharashtra	35900	40392	25485
14.	Manipur	4000	1753	1564

15.	Mizoram	250	240	83
16.	Orissa	8250	8267	6178
17.	Punjab	15000	15531	7490
18.	Rajasthan	10400	10273	6212
19.	Tamil Nadu	21800	19339	13702
20.	Tripura	1300	1238	866
21.	Uttar Pradesh	35813	37324	30819
22.	West Bengal	22900	10184	4348
23.	Andaman & Nicobar	100	101	74
24.	Arunachal Pradesh	300	287	125
25.	Chandigrah	150	174	146
26.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	150	156	150
27.	Daman & Diu	100	116	116
28.	Nagaland	300	267	189*
29.	Lakshadweep	50	26	NR
30.	Meghalaya	550	566	102
31.	Pondicherry	500	513	99
32.	Sikkim	200	169	92
	Total:		299298	199205

Source States/UTs.
*Source RBI.

Projects Cleared by FIPB

1575. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the projects cleared by Foreign Investment Promotion Board during the last six months; and

(b) details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) During the period from July 1996 to December, 1996, 786 proposals of Foreign Direct Investment of Rs. 23226 crores were approved by the FIPB.

(b) The details of such proposals *viz.* name of the Indian company, name and country of foreign collaborator, equity investment involved, item of manufacture/activity are published by the Indian Investment Centre as a supplement to the Monthly Newsletter and copies of these are regularly supplied to the Parliament Library.

Incentives for SSIs in Bihar

1576. SHRI JANARDAN YADAV: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to introduce a package of incentives for the small sector in the country, particularly in the State of Bihar;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) to (c) The Expert Committee on Small Enterprises under the Chairmanship of Dr. Abid Hussain has recently submitted its report. As a follow up on the recommendations of the Committee, several steps including a package of measures for improving the competitiveness of Small Sector in the country are being taken. These would equally be applicable to the small units in the State of Bihar also. Government have already decided to raise the investment limit form 60/75 lakhs to Rs. 300 lakhs for a SSI unit and from Rs. 5 lakhs to 25 lakhs for a tiny unit, as recommended by